Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

3,4-Di-2-pyridyl-1,2,5-oxadiazole and its perchlorate salt

Na Liu, Ai-Ling Cheng and En-Qing Gao*

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: eqgao@chem.ecnu.edu.cn

Received 30 September 2006 Accepted 4 December 2006 Online 13 January 2007

In 3,4-di-2-pyridyl-1,2,5-oxadiazole (dpo), $C_{12}H_8N_4O$, each molecule resides on a twofold axis and interacts with eight neighbours *via* four C-H···N and four C-H···O interactions to generate a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonded architecture. In the perchlorate analogue, 2-[3-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,5-oxadiazol-4-yl]pyridinium perchlorate, $C_{12}H_9N_4O^+$ ·· CIO_4^- or [Hdpo]ClO₄, the [Hdpo]⁺ cation is bisected by a crystallographic mirror plane, and the additional H atom in the cation is shared by the two pyridyl N atoms to form a symmetrical intramolecular N···H···N hydrogen bond. The cations and perchlorate anions are linked through C-H···O hydrogen bonds and π - π stacking interactions to form one-dimensional tubes along the *b*-axis direction.

Comment

Because of the well known importance of $D-H\cdots A$ hydrogen bonds in supramolecular, biological and materials systems (Steiner, 2002), these non-covalent interactions, ranging from strong interactions involving O-H and N-H to weak interactions involving C-H, continue to be the subject of intense study, as well as a putative tool for engineering organic and organometallic solids (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999; Desiraju, 1996; Braga & Grepioni, 2000; Baures *et al.*, 2006; Maly *et al.*, 2006). In this paper, we report the structures of an oxadiazole compound, 3,4-di-2-pyridyl-1,2,5-oxadiazole (dpo), (I), and its perchlorate, [Hdpo]ClO₄, (II).



The molecular structure of dpo is shown in Fig. 1. The molecule resides on a twofold axis that passes through the O atom and the middle of the C-C bond in the oxadiazole ring. The dihedral angle between the oxadiazole ring and the

pyridyl ring is 140.1 (1)°, and that between the two pyridyl rings is 56.78 (7)°, indicating a significant deviation of the molecule from planarity.

In the crystal structure of (I), dpo molecules interact through weak $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots N$ intermolecular interactions (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Each pyridyl group participates in $C-H\cdots O$, $C-H\cdots N$ and $N\cdots H-C$ interactions through C3–H3A, C4–H4A and N1, respectively, to three adjacent molecules, and oxadiazole atom O1 acts as a bifurcated acceptor in two $O\cdots H-C$ interactions with the pyridyl C3–H3A groups of two adjacent molecules. Thus, each dpo molecule is linked to eight adjacent molecules through four $C-H\cdots O$ and four $C-H\cdots N$ interactions, generating a three-dimensional supramolecular structure.

The molecular structure of $[Hdpo]ClO_4$ is shown in Fig. 3. A difference Fourier map indicated that the additional H atom (H1N) in the $[Hdpo]^+$ cation is shared by the two pyridyl N atoms with identical N···H distances, suggesting a symmetrical intramolecular N···H or N hydrogen bond (Table 2). Nearly symmetrical N···H···N hydrogen bonds, with differences in the N···H distances in the range 0.05–0.11 Å, have been reported for several pyridinium–pyridine systems (Bock



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 25% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) and unlabelled non-H atoms are at the symmetry position (-x, -y, z).



Figure 2

Short contacts around a molecule of (I). H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

et al., 1992; Amoedo-Portela et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003; Alfonso et al., 2001; Brammer & Zhao, 1995; Fu et al., 2004). Symmetrical hydrogen bonds have also been recognized for neutral O···H···O (e.g. Cheng & Lin, 2006; Macdonald et al., 1972). The [Hdpo]⁺ cation is bisected by a crystallographic mirror plane that passes through atoms O1 and H1N. We note that the planarity of [Hdpo]⁺ is significantly improved compared with that of the neutral dpo molecule, due to the formation of the intramolecular $N \cdots H \cdots N$ hydrogen bond; the dihedral angle between the oxadiazole ring and the pyridyl ring is $12.8 (3)^{\circ}$ and that between the two pyridyl rings is 19.9 (2)°.





The molecular structure of (II), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) and unlabelled non-H atoms are at the symmetry position $(x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z).$



Figure 4

(a) The one-dimensional tube-like motif in (II), showing the hydrogen bonds and π - π interactions (dashed lines) along the *b* direction. (*b*) The packing of the tube-like motifs. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

As expected, the supramolecular structure of [Hdpo]ClO₄ is distinct from that of dpo. As shown in Fig. 4(a), each $[Hdpo]^+$ cation is linked to three perchlorate anions through four C- $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2) and each perchlorate anion is linked to three [Hdpo]⁺ cations. This leads to hydrogenbonded supramolecular tubes parallel to the b direction (Fig. 4b). The tube is further stabilized by π - π stacking interactions involving two neighbouring pyridyl rings [at (x, y, y)] z) and (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) from different [Hdpo]⁺ cations. The interacting rings are parallel, with a centre-to-centre separation of 3.66 Å and an interplanar separation of 3.48 Å (Fig. 4*a*).

Experimental

Dpo was synthesized according to the literature procedure of Richardson et al. (2002). Single crystals were obtained by recrystallization from petroleum ether-ethyl acetate (3:1 v/v). Crystals of [Hdpo]ClO₄ were prepared by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution of dpo and HClO₄.

Compound (I)

Crystal data С

$C_{12}H_8N_4O$	Z = 8
$M_r = 224.22$	$D_x = 1.335 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Fdd2	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 13.0702 (8) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 21.8905 (16) Å	T = 293 (2) K
c = 7.7957 (5) Å	Rod, colourless
V = 2230.5 (3) Å ³	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans 8433 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0334P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.083$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 1.10	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.13 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
676 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
79 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H-atom parameters constrained	(Sheldrick, 1997a)
-	Extinction coefficient: 0.0037 (6)

Table 1

Short-contact geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C3-H3A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.93	2.63	3.379 (4)	139
$C4-H4A\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.93	2.76	3.467 (3)	133

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{4}, -y + \frac{1}{4}, z - \frac{3}{4}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{4}, y + \frac{1}{4}, z + \frac{1}{4}$.

Compound (II)

Crystal data	
$C_{12}H_9N_4O^+ \cdot ClO_4^-$	2
$M_r = 324.68$	Ι
Orthorhombic, Pnma	Ν
a = 13.5254 (4) Å	Ļ
b = 13.1646 (4) Å	7
c = 7.6908 (2) Å	F
V = 1369.40 (7) Å ³	0

7 = 4 $D_r = 1.575 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.31 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ = 293 (2) K Rod colourless $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$

676 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.093$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^{\circ}$

398 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
21299 measured reflections

Refinement

Rejinemeni	
Refinement on F^2	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	independent and constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.221$	refinement
S = 1.03	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1422P)^2]$
1631 reflections	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
109 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.79 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.56 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

1631 independent reflections 905 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int}=0.088$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} N1 - H1N \cdots N1^{i} \\ C1 - H1A \cdots O3 \\ C4 - H4A \cdots O2^{ii} \end{array}$	1.382 (19) 0.93 0.93	1.382 (19) 2.54 2.46	2.625 (5) 3.281 (4) 3.209 (5)	143 (5) 137 137

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

All H atoms attached to C atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The H atom involved in $N \cdots H \cdots N$ hydrogen bonding in (II) was located in a difference map and refined isotropically. The absolute structure of (I) was not determined; because the compound is a weak anomalous scatterer (no atom heavier than Si), Friedel pairs were merged (MERG 4) before the final refinement.

For both compounds, data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *maXus* (Mackay *et al.*, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors are grateful for financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20571026),

the Ministry of Education (grant No. NCET-05-0425), and the 'Shuguang' Project founded by the Shanghai Municipal Education Commission and the Shanghai Education Development Foundation (grant No. 04SG28).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FA3049). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Alfonso, M., Wang, Y. & Stoeckli-Evans, H. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 1184– 1188.
- Amoedo-Portela, A., Carballo, R., Casas, J. S., Garcia-Martinez, E., Gomez-Alonso, C., Sanchez-Gonzalez, A., Sordo, J. & Vazquez-Lopez, E. M. (2002). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 628, 939–950.
- Baures, P. W., Caldwell, A. W., Cashman, C. R., Masse, M. T., Van Arnam, E. B. & Conry, R. R. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.* 6, 2047–2052.
- Bock, H., Vaupel, T., Nather, C., Ruppert, K. & Havlas, Z. (1992). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 31, 299–301.
- Braga, D. & Grepioni, F. (2000). Acc. Chem. Res. 33, 601-608.
- Brammer, L. & Zhao, D. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 45-48.
- Cheng, C.-Y. & Lin, K.-J. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m363-m365.
- Desiraju, G. R. (1996). Acc. Chem. Res. 29, 441-449.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. Oxford University Press.
- Fu, R.-B., Wu, X.-T., Hu, S.-M., Du, W.-X. & Zhang, J.-J. (2004). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 23, 855–861.
- Macdonald, A. L., Speakman, J. C. & Hadzi, D. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 825–832.
- Mackay, S., Gilmore, C. J., Edwards, C., Tremayne, M., Stewart, N. & Shankland, K. (1998). *maXus*. University of Glasgow, Scotland, Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands, and MacScience Co. Ltd, Yokohama, Japan.
- Maly, K. E., Maris, T. & Wuest, J. D. (2006). CrystEngComm, 8, 33-35.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Richardson, C., Steel, P. J., D'Alessandro, D. M., Junk, P. C. & Keene, F. R. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2775–2785.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXL97. PC Version. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Steiner, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 48-76.
- Wang, M.-S., Chen, W.-T., Cai, L.-Z., Zhou, G.-W., Guo, G.-C. & Huang, J.-S. (2003). J. Cluster Sci. 14, 495–504.